

Examiner is asked to note that this claim is a so-called Jepson-type claim; and all the restrictions identified in the preamble applies to the claimed invention. Hence, by way of specifying sinusoidal inverter output voltage, the Locklair circuit is excluded from consideration. (The Locklair circuit provides for a squarewave output voltage. The frequency control feedback circuit uses sinusoidal current by way of rectifier means and relatively high-resistance means [5100 Ohm] to provide DC control current supposedly operative to affect the saturation characteristics of the saturable feedback transformer.)

In Re Independent Claims 127 and 128

Examiner rejected claims 127 and 128 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Locklair.

Applicant has amended claims 127 and 128, thereby to clearly distinguish over Locklair.

In respect to possible rejection on basis of obviousness, Applicant refers to the arguments provided in connection with claim 118 above.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Based on the amendments and arguments provided hereinabove, Applicant believes that all the claims as presently constituted are allowable over the cited art. However, if Examiner is disposed toward a contrary opinion, Applicant requests that Examiner call Applicant on the telephone before formulating a final decision; thereby, in the interest of efficiency of prosecution, to attempt to arrive at an expeditious and mutually satisfactory disposition of subject application.


Ole K. Nilssen, Applicant

312-658-5615